

AGENDA ITEM REQUEST

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

Note: This agenda item request is due at least eight (8) weeks prior to CCPEC meeting.

Email requests to ProbationCommunityPrograms@acgov.org.

TO: Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)
c/o Alameda County Probation Department
Brian K. Ford, Chief Probation Officer
1111 Jackson Street, P.O. Box 2059
Oakland, CA 94604-2059

FROM: **Name:** Gina Temporal
Title: Contracts Administrative Manager
Agency/Organization/Department: ACPD
Address: 1111 Jackson Street, 7th Floor
Phone #: 510-208-1003
Email: gitemporal@acgov.org

This agenda item is being submitted for consideration by the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) at their meeting on July 21, 2025.

Title: Pretrial Case Management Services

Subject/Description: ACPD is requesting funding to issue a new RFP for Pretrial Case Management Services for individuals released under court supervision. The initial contract term will be one year with options to renew.

Background Information: This initiative is part of ACPD's commitment to community-based alternatives to detention and aims to support clients in attending court and connecting with essential services.

Fiscal Impact*, if any: \$3,000,000

Recommended action to be taken: Approve the funding allocation for an initial one-year contract at \$3,000,000. Upon approval, ACPD will issue an RFP for pretrial case management services.

**When requesting funding, please answer the questions in either Section 1 or 2 below. If requesting funding for a new program idea, answer the questions in Section 1. If requesting funding for a program with an existing AB 109-funded contract, answer the questions in section 2.*

Signature: *Gina Temporal*

Print Name and Title: Gina Temporal, Contracts Administrative Manager

Section 1: Requesting Funding for a New Idea

*** A logic model from the Programs and Services Workgroup may be attached in lieu of answering the following questions.*

A. Program Description

1. What part of the AB 109 population do you propose to serve? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation services etc.) [Pretrial population: individuals released under court supervision.](#)
2. Which client needs are being addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.) [Community-based case management offers an alternative to pretrial detention while mitigating risk factors and establishing supportive service connections to housing, domestic violence treatment services, and/or barrier removal.](#)
3. What are the objectives and benchmarks for success of the proposed program/activity?
[Facilitate service and resource connections at the time of release, create care plans and identify additional needs, support individuals in overcoming barriers to service engagement such as transportation, childcare, and mental health challenges, and reduce recidivism and victimization by addressing underlying needs through sustainable community-based service connections. Reduce pretrial detention by expediting time to release and reducing rearrests and bench warrants, increase referrals to Collaborative Courts, increase referrals to CalAIM Number of people served. Track participant engagement, services received, linkages, client impact, and program exits due participant success in program.](#)
4. What are the resources and activities required by an organization to make the program successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.) [Staffing and personnel; risk assessment tool; training and development; client support services; workshops – court navigation, anger management, and/or substance use awareness; case management referrals for mental health treatment, substance use programs, housing support, and/or family reunification services; monitoring and supervision; data infrastructure; and stakeholder engagement.](#)
5. How will Probation Officers inform clients about the program/activity? [Cross-agency collaboration among the Courts, DA, Public Defender, law enforcement, community-based organizations and Probation.](#)
6. If referrals don't come from Probation, how will clients be informed of the program/activity? [Cross-agency collaboration among the Courts, DA, Public Defender, law enforcement, community-based organizations and Probation.](#)

B. Background Research

1. Is the initiative evidence-based or a promising new idea? [Pretrial services](#) —especially when designed around risk assessment, supervision, and court reminders—is evidence-based. Many components of modern pretrial programs have been studied and shown to be effective at meeting core goals such as ensuring appearance at court and minimizing unnecessary pretrial detention, without increasing risk to public safety.
2. If this is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it? [Risk Assessment Tools](#): research shows that using these tools can help reduce bias, improve decision-making, and decrease reliance on cash bail without increasing crime. [Court Date Reminders](#): Multiple studies show that text or phone call reminders significantly improve court appearance rates. This is a low-cost, high-impact intervention that can reduce FTAs by 25% or more in some cases. [Supervised Release](#): Supervision (especially for medium- to high-risk individuals) is shown to be as effective or more effective than detention in ensuring court compliance and public safety. [Programs that include case management and tailored referrals \(housing, treatment, job services\)](#) show reduced recidivism.
3. If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population the program anticipates serving? [Yes](#)
4. Is Probation funding any similar activities? [Yes, case management services for Early Intervention Court participants.](#)
5. If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary? [This program will expand access to a broader population.](#)
6. Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity. [Lived experience plays a crucial role in shaping effective, and responsive programs. Traditional data can show us what works, but lived experience helps explain why and how interventions succeed \(or fail\) from the participant’s point of view. People with lived experience of the justice system who return as mentors or navigators often have a profound impact.](#)

C. Fiscal Impact

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity? [\\$3,000,000](#)
2. What is the total proposed budget for this program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown. [Probation intends to release an RFP for these services. Bidders will be required to provide a budget breakdown and narrative explaining how they intend to spend the funding including their capacity with the available budget.](#)

Section 2: Request to Renew or Extend an Existing Contract

A. Provider Information

1. Contractor Name:
2. Program Name:
3. City and Board of Supervisors District:

B. Information About the Program

1. Please provide a summary of the program.
2. What part of the AB 109 population was served under the contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)
3. What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
4. Please provide a list of the objectives for this program/activity.
5. Did your organization invest any resources specific to this contract to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
6. Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?

C. Background Research

1. Is the program/activity evidence-based or a promising new idea?
2. If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
3. If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
4. How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
5. Is Probation funding any similar activities?
6. If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
7. Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.

D. Program Data

1. How many people was your organization able to serve under the contract?
2. How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
3. What factors impact the number of people you are able to serve?
4. How has your capacity changed over time?

5. How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation?
6. How do you define successful completion for this program/activity?
7. Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.
8. Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables.
9. Is there a waitlist for this program/activity?
10. If known, how many clients were reincarcerated while in your program?
11. What are some mechanisms your program used to mitigate and address recidivism?

E. **Contract Information**

1. Contract Term:

	Start Date	End Date	Budget Allocation
Original Contract Term:			
1st Amendment:			
2nd Amendment:			
3rd Amendment:			
4th Amendment:			

2. Budget:

	Budget Allocated	Percentage of Total Budget	Total Expenditures as of DATE
Personnel:			
Program Costs:			
Direct Participant Support:			
Indirect Cost:			
Other: Click here to enter text.			
Total:			

3. Direct Participant Support and Cost per Client

- a. What percentage of the expenditures goes to the Contractor vs. the Participants?
- b. Total Expenditures vs. Successfully Completed:

(Total Expenditures / # of Successful Completions = Cost per Successfully Completed):

$\$100,000 / 10 \text{ clients} = \$10,000 \text{ per client}$

c. Total Expenditures vs. Total Active Clients:

(Total Expenditures / # of Active Clients = Cost per Active Client):

$\$100,000 / 20 \text{ clients} = \$5,000 \text{ per client}$

4. Does this contract have an extension available?
5. Why should the contract be extended/renewed?

F. **Fiscal Impact**

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity?
2. What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.
3. Have you exhausted all of your previous AB 109 funding? If not, how much unspent AB 109 funding do you have remaining?
4. What is your total budget for the program/activity under the contract?
 - a. If the proposed annual budget is higher than that of the previous year, please justify the increase.
 - b. If the proposed annual budget is lower than that of the previous year, please explain.
5. Staff Salaries
 - a. How much does your highest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
 - b. How much does your lowest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
 - c. What is your average staff salary?
 - d. Do you offer living wages based on the [MIT Living Wage Calculator](#) (for a single person \$29.95 per hour)?



New Funding for an RFP
Fiscal Year 2025-26

Pretrial Case Management Services

A collaboration between ACPD and the Courts



\$3,000,000

Expanding Pretrial Release and Removing Limitations



Pretrial Expansion: Community-Based Case Management

- At any given time, 2,500 to 3,000 individuals are awaiting trial while released on their own recognizance.
- This project specifically focuses on the moderate-risk subset of that population—approximately 1,500 individuals—but the model is adaptable to the broader pretrial group.
- By providing community-based case management, the initiative offers a meaningful alternative to detention, helping to address risk factors and connect individuals to supportive services.

Primary Objectives

- Facilitate service and resource connections at the time of release
- Create care plans and identify additional needs
- Support individuals in overcoming barriers to service engagement such as transportation, childcare, and mental health challenges
- Reduce recidivism and victimization by addressing underlying needs through sustainable community-based service connections.
- Reduce pretrial detention by expediting time to release and reducing rearrests and bench warrants
- Collaborate with community-based providers to address service gaps and build capacity for identified service needs



Pretrial Case Management Services



The Pretrial Case Management Services is a part of ACPD's and the Court's commitment to community-based alternatives to detention and aims to support clients in attending court and connecting with essential services.



Staffing

- Embedded staff at courthouses
- Serve up to 2,000 clients



Case Management

- Intake, triage, & develop individual case plans
- Coordinate services (housing, mental health, substance use)



Monitor

- Court appearances
- Support Compliance through Documentation and Data Reporting





● RECOMMENDATION

| Approve the allocation of **\$3,000,000** from Fiscal Year 2025–2026 AB 109 CBO funding to support pretrial case management services.