AGENDA ITEM REQUEST

Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)

Note: This agenda item request is due at least eight (8) weeks prior to CCPEC meeting.
Email requests to ProbationCommunityPrograms@acgov.org.

TO: Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC)
c/o Alameda County Probation Department
Brian K. Ford, Chief Probation Officer
1111 Jackson Street, P.O. Box 2059
Oakland, CA 94604-2059

FROM: **Name**: Daniel Scott
Title: Program Manager
Agency/Organization/Department: ACH H&H
Address: 1900 Embarcadero, Suite 210, Oakland, CA 94606
Phone #: 510-670-6366 **Alternate Phone #:** _____
Email: Daniel.Scott@acgov.org

This agenda item is being submitted for consideration by the Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee (CCPEC) at their meeting on March 16,2026

Title: Annual Allocation for AB 109 Funded Programs and Resources – Realignment Housing Program (RHP)

Subject/Description: RHP serves individuals who are on supervised probation, unhoused and at risk of homelessness. The program provides urgent shelter, financial assistance and supportive services, including creating service plans, short-term rental assistance for up to 24 months, housing navigation, case management, security deposits and utility payments, moving costs, interim shelter assistance, transportation assistance, and home furnishings.

Background Information: In 2014, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors dedicated 50% of the AB109-funding received from the state to local Community Based Organizations. That funding is used to contract with local CBOs that provide resources and programs that address a client's risk and needs and promote safety for the community and success for the Participant. This item requires additional funding for the Realignment Housing Program's continuity of services and resources for Fiscal Year 2026-27.

Fiscal Impact*, if any: $3,521,737

Recommended action to be taken: Approve the annual allocation to continue funding this item for the realigned population.

**When requesting funding, please answer the questions in either Section 1 or 2 below. If requesting funding for a new program idea, answer the questions in Section 1. If requesting funding for a program with an existing AB 109-funded contract, answer the questions in section 2.*

Signature: daniel scott

Print Name and Title: Daniel Scott, Program Manager

Section 1: Requesting Funding for a New Idea

** * A logic model from the Programs and Services Workgroup may be attached in lieu of answering the following questions.*

A. **Program Description**

1. What part of the AB 109 population do you propose to serve? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation services etc.)
2. Which client needs are being addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)
3. What are the objectives and benchmarks for success of the proposed program/activity?
4. What are the resources and activities required by an organization to make the program successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)
5. How will Probation Officers inform clients about the program/activity?
6. If referrals don't come from Probation, how will clients be informed of the program/activity?

B. **Background Research**

1. Is the initiative evidence-based or a promising new idea?
2. If this is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
3. If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population the program anticipates serving?
4. Is Probation funding any similar activities?
5. If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?
6. Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.

C. **Fiscal Impact**

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity?
2. What is the total proposed budget for this program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.

Section 2: Request to Renew or Extend an Existing Contract

A. **Provider Information**

1. Contractor Name: Alameda County Health Housing & Homelessness Services
2. Program Name: Realignment Housing Program (RHP)
3. City and Board of Supervisors District: All of Alameda County

B. **Information About the Program**

1. **Please provide a summary of the program**.
   RHP serves individuals who are on supervised probation, unhoused and at risk of homelessness. The program provides urgent shelter, financial assistance and supportive services, including creating service plans, short-term rental assistance for up to 24 months, housing navigation, case management, security deposits and utility payments, moving costs, interim shelter assistance, transportation assistance, and home furnishings.

2. **What part of the AB 109 population was served under the contract? (For example: unhoused individuals, clients disengaged from Probation Services, etc.)**
   Individuals who are on supervised probation, unhoused and at risk of homelessness.

3. **What client needs were addressed? (For example: housing, employment, substance abuse etc.)**
   - Housing—Rapid Rehousing (RRH), which is short-term housing support to quickly re-house program participants who are experiencing homelessness. RRH provides participants with housing-related support which includes housing identification, deposit, move-in and rental assistance, case management services and identification of additional resources to meet family needs. RHP also provides one-time financial assistance for clients needing help with obtaining furniture and household supplies.
   - Housing – Emergency Shelter (ES) along with wrap-around supportive services that will reduce barriers to employment and increase employment opportunities.
   - Housing – Homelessness Prevention, which includes one-time financial assistance to prevent clients from losing their housing. RHP may cover past due rent or utilities, or rent in emergency situations.

4. **Please provide a list of the objectives for this program/activity.**
   - Provide rapid rehousing and emergency shelter to individuals who are on supervised probation and are unhoused or at risk of homelessness.
   - Assist participants to find housing as quickly as possible, while also assisting them in linking to other services needed for successful re-entry and long-term housing stability.

5. **Did your organization invest any resources specific to this contract to make the program/activity successful? (For example: staffing, development of workshops etc.)**
   - H&H convenes regular monthly provider meetings that address reporting requirements, coordination with ACPD staff, and other issues as they arise.
   - RHP service contractors provide ongoing staff training in a range of areas, including DEI, Critical Intervention, Motivational interviewing, strategies for efficient case management, client engagement, CPR, Housing and Quality Inspections, landlord engagement strategies, unit acquisition, tenancy rights and fair housing law.

6. **Did you do any outreach to the target population, outside of referrals by Probation? If so, what were the results of your outreach?**

No. DPOs refer individuals to the RHP service providers. All referrals come from the DPOs.

C. **Background Research**

1. Is the program/activity evidence-based or a promising new idea?
RHP uses evidence-based models including those developed in conjunction with the National Alliance to End Homelessness Rapid Re-housing standards.

2. If the program/activity is an evidence-based program, what does the research say about it?
According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness, research demonstrates that those who receive rapid re-housing assistance are homeless for shorter periods of time than those assisted with shelter or transitional housing. Rapid re-housing is also less expensive than other homeless interventions, such as shelter or transitional housing.

3. If there is existing research, was the research done on a population similar to the population served?
A few small studies (i.e., less than 50 subjects) of rapid rehousing's effectiveness with the reentry population have been conducted recently. They support the findings of earlier studies about rapid rehousing services for the general population of unhoused individuals.

4. How do milestones/contract deliverables compare to the outcomes of similar work in other jurisdictions?
Unknown – data is not readily available.

5. Is Probation funding any similar activities?
Yes, emergency shelters, transitional housing vendor pool, and Family Reunification contractors provide housing stipends for participants living with family.

6. If Probation is funding similar activities, what is unique about this program/activity, why is it necessary?

- RHP serves individuals for whom Probation's other Transitional Housing Programs are not appropriate, including those with spouses and children, pets, physical health needs, and sex offenders.
- RHP rental assistance is tenant-based, with participants living in their own housing and not a Transitional Housing Program facility.
- RHP goes beyond the help with basic needs that Probation's other Transitional Housing Programs provide. The program offers flexible creative services to clients who don't need ongoing rental assistance, including move in assistance such as payment of first month rent, security deposit, packing supplies, and purchase of furniture, cleaning supplies, kitchen ware etc. RHP also provides housing preservation services such as paying past due/back rent or utilities due to sudden change in participant circumstances.

7. Lived experience can often provide a layer of knowledge often not captured by traditional research methods. Please provide any anecdotal knowledge based upon lived experiences that contributes to or strengthens your proposed program/activity.
In 2022, Abode Services launched the Lived Experience Advisory Board (LEAB), comprised of twelve individuals who are currently unhoused or have experienced homelessness. LEAB volunteers share their insights with Abode to ensure the voices of those who are served are reflected in all aspects of the work. Each LEAB volunteer receives a small monthly stipend, a tablet to use for web-conferences/calls, and support to attend monthly, in-person meetings. Lastly, all LEAB members receive regular orientation and training sessions to ensure they have the tools to be successful. Over the past year, LEAB reviewed and provided thoughtful feedback

on eighteen separate policies, trainings, and projects, while also generating ideas on a range of topics, including: property design; housing processes; training sessions for participants; and conceptualizing a participant info web-portal.

Both EOCP and Restorative Pathways (RP) have RHP staff with lived experience. Their experience brings an extra level of compassion to the services they provide and helps build trust and rapport with participants which enriches the participants' experience with the program.

D. **Program Data**

1. How many people was your organization able to serve under the contract? 271

2. How many people was your organization expected to serve under the contract?
190 Rapid Rehousing and Homelessness Prevention participants for the year, plus 10 Emergency Shelter beds per month.

3. What factors impact the number of people you are able to serve?
The flow of referrals from DPOs, the budget, the level of service provider staffing

4. How has your capacity changed over time?

5. From 2011 through 2015, RHP mainly provided Rapid Rehousing and Homelessness Prevention services. In 2015, the program added a provider that offered only Emergency Shelter services. In FY25-26, H&H used an RFP process to select three RHP service providers for FY25-26. Two of the three that were selected through this process had provided RHP services since 2011. The provider that had supplied RHP Emergency Shelter services was not selected through this process, and a new provider was added. The new provider has the capacity to offer Emergency Shelter services, and all three RHP contractors are now responsible for providing Rapid Rehousing, Homelessness Prevention, and Emergency Shelter services. We anticipate that this change will result in short processing times for individuals referred to RHP and may increase the use of Emergency Shelter services.

6. How many people were referred to the program/activity by Probation? 373

7. How do you define successful completion for this program/activity?
Housed with no timeframe /end date and/or housing preservation has been secured

8. Please provide program milestones and other contract deliverable data.
During the past contract period we achieved two first program milestones, (1) we successfully spent 100% of the AB109 funds during the FY24 contract period and (2) we issued the first RFP for this project.

9. Describe how successfully your organization achieved your contract milestones and the other contract deliverables.
We were able to reach the first milestone by tracking program spending and providing ongoing spending updates to our RHP agencies to help them gauge their spending during the contract period. We hold monthly meetings to review performance and progress towards our spenddown goal of 97%. Our ongoing strong partnership with ACPD staff also contributes to this program success. H&H and ACPD meet at least monthly assess program progress and to refine program delivery.

The second milestone was accomplished with the assistance of GSA; H&H relied upon GSA to develop and execute the RFP. RHP started in 2011 and the agencies were selected based upon their experience with providing Rapid-Rehousing services to County residents. Last year the first RFP was issued, and three vendors were selected to provide the RHP services. ACPD and H&H have provided training and expertise to the agencies to assist them with understanding the program requirements.

10. Is there a waitlist for this program/activity?
No

11. If known, how many clients were reincarcerated while in your program?
RHP service providers reported a total of twelve (12) participants who exited due to parole violations or new arrests during the period July 2024 – June 2025.

12. What are some mechanisms your program used to mitigate and address recidivism?
A key component to reducing recidivism is stable housing. RHP is an evidence-based program that is designed to provide short-term housing subsidies and resources for people who are justice impacted; our primary goal is to help each referral obtain and maintain their housing. When we receive referrals from ACPD the RHP intake coordinators conduct an intake with them and begin developing an individualized housing plan in partnership with the referral. The housing plan is the guiding document for providing client services and for tracking progress towards their goal of achieving self-sufficiency and successfully graduating from the program. The intake coordinator relies upon information received from the referral to identify their specific barriers and actions that they will take to overcome these barriers to them maintaining their housing. RHP provides case management services which includes assessment of a participant's mental health, substance use disorders, and behavioral patterns that have contributed to their past housing instability. Our program reduces recidivism by providing education and coaching that is tailored to each participant's needs. Housing coordinators make follow up visits with participants while they are enrolled in RHP and the housing coordinators maintain ongoing communication with the DPO to ensure that information and concerns are being shared and addressed by RHP and the ACDP.

E. **<u>Contract Information</u>**

The term of the MOU between ACPD and H&H that funds this program is 7/1/25 – 6/30/26.

Because of the time required for GSA to complete the Request for Proposal (RFP) process selecting FY25 RHP service providers and sign contracts with these agencies, H&H extended the FY24-25 contracts to end 9/30/25. The term of the FY25-26 contracts for the three agencies is 10/1/25 – 6/30/26.

1. **Contract Term: FY25-26 RHP, ACPD-H&H MOU**

	Start Date	End Date	Budget Allocation
7/1/25	7/1/25	6/30/26	$3,521,737
1st Amendment:			
2nd Amendment:			
3rd Amendment:			
4th Amendment:			

2. Budget:

FY 25-26 RHP TOTAL	Budget Allocated	Percentage of Total Budget	Total Expenditures as of 12/3/25
Personnel:	$650,034	18%	$238,471
Program Costs:	$278,586	8%	$102,202
Direct Participant Support:	$2,180,097	62%	$323,867
Indirect Cost:	$92,862	3%	$52,339
Other: H&H Administration	$320,158	9%	$0
Total:	**$3,521,737**	100%	**$1,037,046**

3. Direct Participant Support and Cost per Client RHP Total FY25-26

a. What percentage of the expenditures goes to the Contractor vs. the Participants?
FY25-26: 62% of the total RHP budget goes to direct participant support.

b. **Total Expenditures vs. Successfully Completed:** FY25-26 $19,567

(Total Expenditures $1,037,046 / # of Successful Completions 53 (includes participants and family members) = Cost per Successfully Completed)

c. Total Expenditures vs. Total Active Clients: FY25-26 $6,402

(Total Expenditures $1,037,046 / # of Active Participants 162 = Cost per Active Client)

4. Does this contract have an extension available? Yes

5. Why should the contract be extended/renewed?
This is the 14th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/26, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.

F. **Fiscal Impact** RHP Total FY25-26

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity? FY26-27 = $3,521,737

2. What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.

FY26-27 RHP TOTAL

Budget Line Item	Amount
Rental Assistance (includes Flex Funds & Temporary Housing)	$2,180,097
Staff & Operating Costs	$928,800
Admin	$92,682
H&H	$320,158
TOTAL	**$3,521,737**

3. Have you exhausted all of your previous AB 109 funding? If not, how much unspent AB 109 funding do you have remaining?
All FY24-25 funds were expended.
29% of FY25-26 funds have been spent as of January 2026.

4. What is your total budget for the program/activity under the contract?
We are requesting $3,521,737 for FY26-27. This is the same amount as our budget for FY25-26.

a. If the proposed annual budget is higher than that of the previous year, please justify the increase.
N/A

b. If the proposed annual budget is lower than that of the previous year, please explain.
N/A

5. **Staff Salaries** RHP Total FY25-26

a. How much does your highest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$262,650 per year

b. How much does your lowest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$36,871 per year

c. What is your average staff salary?
$ 87,032 per year

d. Do you offer living wages based on the MIT Living Wage Calculator (for a single person $29.95 per hour)?
Yes

1. **Contract Term: FY25-26 RHP, ABODE**

	Start Date	End Date	Budget Allocation
7/1/25	10/1/25	6/30/26	$1,220,392
1st Amendment:			
2nd Amendment:			
3rd Amendment:			

4th Amendment:			

2. Budget:

FY 25-26 Abode	**Budget Allocated**	**Percentage of Total Budget**	**Total Expenditures as of 12/3/25**
Personnel:	$314,168	26%	$108,456
Program Costs:	$134,644	11%	$46,481
Direct Participant Support:	$726,699	60%	$167,561
Indirect Cost:	$44,881	4%	$25,887
Other: H&H Administration	-----	-----	-----
Total:	**$1,220,392**	100%	**$348,385**

3. Direct Participant Support and Cost per Client Abode RHP FY25-26

d. What percentage of the expenditures goes to the Contractor vs. the Participants?
FY25-26: 60% of Abode's RHP budget goes to direct participant support.

e. **Total Expenditures vs. Successfully Completed:** FY25-26 $8,710

(Total Expenditures $348,385 / # of Successful Completions 40 (includes participants and family members) = Cost per Successfully Completed)

f. Total Expenditures vs. Total Active Clients: FY25-26 $4,645

(Total Expenditures $348,385 / # of Active Participants 75 = Cost per Active Client)

4. Does this contract have an extension available? Yes

5. Why should the contract be extended/renewed?
This is the 14th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/26, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.

F. **Fiscal Impact** Abode RHP

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity? FY26-27 = $1,220,392

2. What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.

FY26-27 Abode

Budget Line Item	Amount
Rental Assistance (includes Flex Funds & Temporary Housing)	$726,699
Staff & Operating Costs	$448,812
Admin	$44,881
H&H	-----
TOTAL	**$1,220,392**

3. Have you exhausted all of your previous AB 109 funding? If not, how much unspent AB 109 funding do you have remaining?
All FY24-25 funds were expended.
29% of FY25-26 funds have been spent as of December 2025.

4. What is your total budget for the program/activity under the contract?
We are requesting $1,220,392 for FY26-27. This is the same amount as our budget for FY25-26.

c. If the proposed annual budget is higher than that of the previous year, please justify the increase.
N/A

d. If the proposed annual budget is lower than that of the previous year, please explain.
N/A

5. **Staff Salaries** Abode RHP

a. How much does your highest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$90,912 per year

b. How much does your lowest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$61,248 per year

c. What is your average staff salary?
$73,277 per year

d. Do you offer living wages based on the MIT Living Wage Calculator (for a single person $29.95 per hour)?
Yes

1. **Contract Term: FY25-26 RHP, EOCP**

	Start Date	End Date	Budget Allocation
7/1/25	10/1/25	6/30/26	$915,609
1st Amendment:			
2nd Amendment:			
3rd Amendment:			

4th Amendment:			

2. Budget:

FY 25-26 EOCP	**Budget Allocated**	**Percentage of Total Budget**	**Total Expenditures as of 12/3/25**
Personnel:	$120,215	13%	$49,728
Program Costs:	$51,521	6%	$21,312
Direct Participant Support:	$726,699	79%	$111,771
Indirect Cost:	$17,174	2%	$15,081
Other: H&H Administration	-----	-----	-----
Total:	**$915,609**	100%	**$197,892**

3. Direct Participant Support and Cost per Client EOCP RHP FY25-26

g. What percentage of the expenditures goes to the Contractor vs. the Participants? FY25-26: 79% of EOCP's RHP budget goes to direct participant support.

h. **Total Expenditures vs. Successfully Completed:** FY25-26 $16,491

(Total Expenditures $197,892 / # of Successful Completions 12 (includes participants and family members) = Cost per Successfully Completed)

i. Total Expenditures vs. Total Active Clients: FY25-26 $2,328

(Total Expenditures $197,982 / # of Active Participants 85 = Cost per Active Client)

4. Does this contract have an extension available? Yes

5. Why should the contract be extended/renewed?
This is the 14th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/26, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.

F. **Fiscal Impact** EOCP RHP

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity? FY26-27 = $915,609

2. What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.

FY26-27 EOCP

Budget Line Item	Amount
Rental Assistance (includes Flex Funds & Temporary Housing)	$726,699
Staff & Operating Costs	$171,736
Admin	$17,174
H&H	-----
TOTAL	**$915,609**

3. Have you exhausted all of your previous AB 109 funding? If not, how much unspent AB 109 funding do you have remaining?
All FY24-25 funds were expended.
22% of FY25-26 funds have been spent as of December 2025.

4. What is your total budget for the program/activity under the contract?
We are requesting $915,609 for FY26-27. This is the same amount as our budget for FY25-26.

e. If the proposed annual budget is higher than that of the previous year, please justify the increase.
N/A

f. If the proposed annual budget is lower than that of the previous year, please explain.
N/A

5. **Staff Salaries** EOCP RHP

a. How much does your highest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$146,000 per year

b. How much does your lowest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$36,871 per year

c. What is your average staff salary?
$70,070 per year

d. Do you offer living wages based on the MIT Living Wage Calculator (for a single person $29.95 per hour)?
Yes

1. **Contract Term: FY25-26 RHP, RP**

	Start Date	End Date	Budget Allocation
7/1/25	10/1/25	6/30/26	$1,065,578
1st Amendment:			

2nd Amendment:			
3rd Amendment:			
4th Amendment:			

2. Budget:

FY 25-26 RP	**Budget Allocated**	**Percentage of Total Budget**	**Total Expenditures as of 12/3/25**
Personnel:	$215,650	20%	$80,288
Program Costs:	$92,422	9%	$34,409
Direct Participant Support:	$726,699	68%	$44,544
Indirect Cost:	$30,807	3%	$11,370
Other: H&H Administration	-----	-----	-----
Total:	**$1,065,578**	100%	**$170,611**

3. Direct Participant Support and Cost per Client RP RHP FY25-26

j. What percentage of the expenditures goes to the Contractor vs. the Participants?
FY25-26: 68% of RP's RHP budget goes to direct participant support.

k. **Total Expenditures vs. Successfully Completed:** FY25-26 $170,611

(Total Expenditures $170,611 / # of Successful Completions 1 (includes participants and family members) = Cost per Successfully Completed)

l. Total Expenditures vs. Total Active Clients: FY25-26 $85,305

(Total Expenditures $170,611/ # of Active Participants 2 = Cost per Active Client)

4. Does this contract have an extension available? Yes

5. Why should the contract be extended/renewed?
This is the 14th renewal for the RHP project, the contractors have developed processes and systems to adequately support the referrals from the Probation department and there are no significant deficiencies in performance. The renewal contract starts on 7/1/26, to prevent a disruption in service we recommend approving funding for another contract cycle.

F. **Fiscal Impact** RP RHP

1. What is your proposed annual budget for this program/activity? FY26-27 = $1,065,578

2. What is the total proposed budget for the requested program/activity? Please include a complete budget breakdown.
FY26-27 RP

Budget Line Item	Amount
Rental Assistance (includes Flex Funds & Temporary Housing)	$726,699
Staff & Operating Costs	$308,072
Admin	$30,807
H&H	-----
TOTAL	**$1,065,578**

3. Have you exhausted all of your previous AB 109 funding? If not, how much unspent AB 109 funding do you have remaining?
16% of FY25-26 funds have been spent as of December 2025.

4. What is your total budget for the program/activity under the contract?
We are requesting $1,065,578 for FY26-27. This is the same amount as our budget for FY25-26.

g. If the proposed annual budget is higher than that of the previous year, please justify the increase.
N/A

h. If the proposed annual budget is lower than that of the previous year, please explain.
N/A

5. **Staff Salaries** RP RHP

a. How much does your highest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$262,650 per year

b. How much does your lowest paid staff member allocated to this contract make?
$62,400 per year

c. What is your average staff salary?
$121,721 per year

d. Do you offer living wages based on the MIT Living Wage Calculator (for a single person $29.95 per hour)?
Yes