



# Alameda County SB823 Subcommittee Minutes

3.25.21

#### SB 823 Subcommittee Members in Attendance:

Chief Still, ACPD
Eileen McAndrew, DA
Alphonso Mance, PD
Lori Cox, ACSS
Juan Taizan, ACBH
Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel
Monica Vaughan, ACOE
Hon. Ursula Jones Dickson

Lt. Dave Elzey, OPD
Vamsey Palagummi, JJDPC
Emily Young, DPN
Hayden Renato, Free Our Kids Coalition
Caryn Quezada, District 1 Representative
Davida Scott, District 2 Representative
Erin Palacios, District 3 Representative
Trevor Arceneaux, District 4 Representative
Kelly Thompson, District 5 Representative

- 1. Call to Order & Roll Call
  - a. Call to Order by Chief Still at 12:30
  - b. Roll Call taken by Adrienne Chambers
- 2. Minute Approvals
  - a. 3.18.21
    - i. Minutes approved by unanimous consent

## **New Business**

- 3. Presentation of Phase A Plan
  - a. Subcommittee Roundtable Discussion
  - b. Questions and Comments
    - i. Will this plan be voted on by the subcommittee?
      - 1. A: No, the legislation doesn't require a vote.
    - ii. Language will be added into the footnote to clarify that input and feedback are incorporated in the Interim Plan from the Subcommittee.
    - iii. Clarifying language will be added; courts' decisions based on secure track will determine the length of stay.
    - iv. Is the average length of stay pre or post-adjudication?
      - 1. A: Post-adjudication.
      - 2. A: Numbers but out by DJJ.
    - v. Looking at the language of the footnote, does the board have to approve the final plan?
      - 1. A: Yes.
    - vi. Can "if therapeutically appropriate" be added to the success team section?
      - 1. A: Yes; Behavioral and Needs-Based; more often as needed.





- vii. ACBH's role is missing in the training and support of staff who are going to be running programs. How are we identifying staff now; what does the training plan look like?
  - 1. A: Support adding stronger related to therapeutic environment and behavioral health; job description will list desired qualifications.
  - 2. A: ACBH are partners, will collaborate, and offer support; ACBH is not a training institute.
- viii. Support question of training before July 1 2021 in all sections
  - 1. A: Will look at doing training in June
- ix. Recommendation for any policy or protocol in place is run through the lens of ACBH; clinically lens, to ensure developmental appropriateness.
  - 1. A: ACBH is required to have a seat on the committee; making use of their expertise will strengthen the plan.
- x. Is there an update on programming or contracting with other counties for populations not being served? What is the plan if programs are not available in a nearby county, or aren't ready in time?
  - 1. A: BSCC plans to make grant money available to counties for programming for special populations; will work in partnership with ACBH to develop a treatment for special populations.
- xi. Are there any special population youth in the hall currently?
  - 1. A: No.
- xii. What do we mean by serious mentally ill?
  - 1. A: DJJ Language.
- xiii. What does serious mentally ill mean to Alameda County?
  - 1. A: Alameda County will possibly be able to serve this population.
- xiv. Can language be added to reflect that?
  - 1. A: Yes
- xv. Is there a reason for the absence of athletic programs?
  - 1. A: Language will be added
- xvi. Intentional language about culturally relevant materials and learning practices is missing in the education section.
  - 1. A: Language will be added.
- xvii. Will there be virtual gatherings with family included; what supports will be given to families to address connectivity issues?
  - 1. A: ACPD has been providing resources and support; plan to continue doing it. Language will be added.
- xviii. Think creatively and have the budget reflect a Transition Center that is robust.
  - 1. A: Definitely something the subcommittee can look at in Phase B
- xix. Can we look for a way in Phase A to bolster continual family support services

  1. A: Yes
- xx. Pleased to see language not limit a specific trauma modality; FFT is great but expensive.





- 1. ACPD is going to put a \$3 million community capacity fund for CBOs that provide juvenile services.
- xxi. Will capacity-building funding come out before July 1st?
  - 1. A: Yes; likely the first quarter of the fiscal year.
- xxii. Concern if FFT effectively because of its limitation serves youth and family.
  - 1. A: ACPD will have to look at this in its internal evaluation of programming.
- xxiii. Thinking about what models will work for an older population.
  - 1. A: Add a sentence about exploring therapeutic intervention that exists for the TAY population.
- xxiv. Would like to see language around a developmentally appropriate positive reinforcement behavior model.
- xxv. The substance of the section doesn't address the question in part 5. Nothing specifically describes facility adaption for long-term commitments.
  - 1. A: Will add language about the physical renovations.
- xxvi. Recommendation for looking at the work Designing Justice does.
- xxvii. Request for deadlines on comments when drafts are sent?
  - 1. A: Yes.

## 4. Staffing & Budget

- a. Question and Comments
- b. Can we think about replicating Stockton's UBI program for SB 823 youth?
- c. Another model in Santa Clara County for TAY foster youth; not incentive models, guaranteed basic income models.
  - i. A: Will looking at best models; supports having as an agenda item.
- d. Philosophical concern that crime should not; further discussion should be evidence-based.
- e. We need to look at what serves public safety; we need to get beyond the false victim-offender dichotomy.
- 5. Letter to the Board
  - a. Question and Comment
  - b. Will add language to include families and victims.
- 6. Public Comment
  - a. Will ACPD convert or repurpose 15 new staff?
    - i. A: ACPD will repurpose 15 staff; \$2.473 million; additional 4 staff as part of the budget; 1 DPO, 1 Youth Restorative Services Care Coordinator, 1 Behavioral Health Clinician, 1 Recreational Therapist.
  - b. Where will the 15 staff be pulled from? Will the subcommittee look at the budget going forward?
    - i. A: Staff will be repurposed from Unit 3 staff; already included in JJC budget. Yes, the subcommittee will look at the budget going forward.
  - c. Community Works will be piloting a guaranteed income program; offers to help as a resource.

#### 7. Next Steps

- a. The subcommittee will continue to meet at least once a week through the month of April.
- 8. Meeting adjourned at 2:43