

**ALAMEDA COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
QUARTERLY MEETING**

April 20, 2022 · 3:00 PM – 5:00 PM
Microsoft Teams Virtual Meeting

MEETING MINUTES

Present:

Dawal, Marcus (Chair)
Basoco-Villareal, Anissa (Social Services Designee)
Brooks, Rodney (Public Defender Woods' Designee)

Smith, Tim (Community Representative)
Tribble, Dr. Karyn (Director Chawla's Designee)
Wiley, Terry (District Attorney Designee)

Attendees:

Baker, Karen	Cruz, Ayana	Jones, Yvonne	O'Neill, Gavin	Wilkerson, Riley
Belowich, Steven	Fraix, Madeleine	Ku, Daniel	Ortiz, Aaron	Wilson, Jenica
Boykin, Rhonda	French, Nancy	Lee, Corrine	Rueda, Diana	Wu, Irene
Casey, Latrice	Gipson, Sylvia	Lewis, Dr. Clyde	Singh, Ameeta	Young, Alexa
Chavez, Laura	Grigsby, Janene	Mason, Joey	Smith, Shadeequa	Additional Guests: 3
Clanon, Dr. Kathleen	Guerry, Danielle	Motley, Ocean	Smith, Tim	
Conner, Shauna	Haworth, Victoria	Nance, Phyllis	Vaughan, Monica	

1. **Call To Order/Introductions**
2. **Determination of Ongoing Need for Virtual Meetings Under AB361 (9/16/2021)** – Continuation of virtually held meeting approved by the CCP
3. **Public Comment**
4. **Review and adoption of [CCP Quarterly Meeting Minutes 1-19-2022.pdf](#)** – Minutes reviewed and adopted as written
5. **Addressing Community Feedback: CCP Subcommittee Coordination & Resource Leveraging – Shauna Conner**
 - A. Concerns raised from the last CCP meeting regarding implementation of the plan and cross-systems collaboration were addressed:
 - i. The CCP was created by Penal Code 1230 to develop a plan to implement the key portions of criminal justice legislation, and the CCP influences funding decisions and priorities; the most recent CCP Strategic Plan was in 2019
 - ii. Recent process changes by the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) now asks that the CCP Plan be revisited annually, which drives how the work is being coordinated, as well as the focus of the individual subcommittee meetings and the CCP quarterly meetings
 - iii. Challenges with the report have been: a) meeting frequencies; b) delayed approval of the report by the CCP; and c) losing some key staff chairing the committees (in the process of identifying a new Education Chair)
 - iv. Due to a considerable gap between the plan's creation and implementation, the Reentry Service Coordinators were asked to work with their respective Chairs to identify initiatives and groups whose goals and purposes align with the CCP Subcommittees to maximize impact, and reduce duplication and effort; this has been done with the Housing and Physical Health Subcommittees
 - B. The Chief also sent a letter to the respective department heads who have designees chairing the committees to have everyone recommit to the effort, laying out each of the subcommittees' shared focus:
 - i. To convene workgroups in key reentry areas under the guidance of subject matter experts, which was the purpose of the subcommittees
 - ii. To meet quarterly, at a minimum, to advance strategic reentry goals and priorities in key areas; also consider blending with existing collaborative meetings or overlapping initiatives to add value to that work

- iii. County and community partners to unify in prioritizing what the needs are for the reentry population, identifying those needs, and also connecting with others in their respective departments or service providers that they work with to increase participation and contribute additional perspectives to those meetings
 - iv. Seek out subject matter experts to address some of the intersectional needs of the reentry population and support County-wide change
 - v. Everyone attend other CCP Subcommittee meetings to identify other connections; subcommittee chairs will be sent invitations for the other subcommittee meetings to identify potential areas where they can combine the subcommittee work
- C. It was previously recommended to have a separate meeting for the subcommittee chairs to discuss possible collaboration, but these quarterly meetings are the place to have discussions about potential collaboration

6. **Annual BSCC Reporting Requirements** – Shauna Conner

- A. The report is due each year that addresses the funding and program priorities; to get an early start planning for next fiscal year, a Doodle poll will be sent to the CCP members and subcommittee chairs to possibly host a subcommittee meeting prior to the new fiscal year in June to discuss next year's potential priorities
- B. These are the 4 overarching goals the CCP has been operating with for about ten years that need to be reviewed to identify if they are the same for the next fiscal year, what the primary goals are in these areas, and how they would be reached:
 - i. Reduce recidivism
 - ii. High quality, comprehensive, wrap-around service from first point of contact with the criminal justice system, with a reintegration and reunification focus that leads to pro-social outcomes
 - iii. Develop a network of well-coordinated systems of services
 - iv. Accountability, transparency, fiscal and performance outcomes
- C. It would also be beneficial to discuss the structure of the meetings going forward and this body's purpose
- D. Internal discussions have included bringing in potential systemwide initiatives that impact the reentry population (the Reentry Hiring Initiative mentioned earlier will be reported out each time at this meeting), bring the Reentry Center implementation (will happen later this year), and any updates on the implementation of CalAIM following completion of the plan at the top of the year

7. **Subcommittee Reports:**

A. **Workforce Development & Employment Subcommittee Report** – Rhonda Boykin

- i. The subcommittee met on March 18; Sofia Navarro, Oakland Workforce Development Board Director, was introduced as the Co-Chair; there was a report-out on the Reentry Hiring Initiative: Since 2016, 21 participants have been placed (3 have transitioned to permanent employment); other options were discussed around referrals; and the primary agencies that have been participating in hiring are the Social Services Agency and Probation
- ii. Next goal is to produce a one-page resource document with all the programs and services available; all member organizations have agreed to provide performance data on their programs and services; next meeting is in June

B. **Community/Civic Engagement Subcommittee Report** – Tim Smith

- i. The Civic Interaction Activities Subcommittee was created by the CAB and is comprised of four CAB members and other subject matter experts; anyone is welcomed to participate
- ii. In order to be more informed and strengthen their advisory efforts, CAB members and other support have been getting out into the community to highlight effective and ineffective services by doing secret shopping; there is a request moving forward for a community and civic engagement townhall forum and an Agenda Item Request for a fulltime CAB Administration and Research Support staff

- iii. An ambassador's program is in development to address institutional racism and how it exists throughout society, within the community, and within local government with the hope of other entities participating; the CAB is transitioning back to in-person meetings; please reach out to the CAB if you know of venues they can use afterhours for their meetings

C. Education Subcommittee Report

- i. No report

D. Family Reunification Subcommittee Report – Phyllis Nance

- i. The subcommittee last met on February 8, March 8, and April 12; they are still gaining traction and welcome more attendance and cross-collaboration, and have also begun to attend other subcommittee meetings to address any crossover
- ii. The three AB109 providers gave updates, including weekly parenting classes, housing stipends, and all of them working on establishing culturally-relevant service delivery for the families; Fatherhood First Five will have a virtual fatherhood summit on June 18 and are also working on healthy relationship principles, which they hope to eventually implement in all county agencies
- iii. Their debt reduction program is targeting justice-involved parents and past due child support; Child Support is also beginning the first stages of exploring a grassroots child support advisory committee; the subcommittee is still discussing how to do the cross-sectional data gathering; the next meeting is in May

E. Substance Abuse Subcommittee Report – Dr. Clyde Lewis

- i. The subcommittee met on February 24 and reviewed the goals with providers, doing a deep dive on them as well as their progress and priorities; they would like to create a comprehensive ASAM (American Society of Addiction Medicine) at Santa Rita; there have been successes connecting with Wellpath in Santa Rita and they have been providing information regarding medication and dosages; Telecare Collaborative Courts receives money for social workers to do dual diagnosis support and linkages
- ii. A primary challenge that's been consistent is CBOs letting them know they are having challenges communicating with in-custody clients (due to COVID, also need a clearer understanding of release dates and a new release of information system; it's difficult to coordinate housing and transportation for the majority of clients with unpredictable release times and dates (there is concern from providers and community members about having a more intentional release process)
- iii. Some suggestions include having a designated specialist or communication ambassador staff within Santa Rita that sets up the connection and support for external providers; use of Safe Landing RV as a touchpoint and utilize Safe Landing transportation; having a designated community place where people can go post-release with services and support available at a safe place location
- iv. They also met on March 31 and were joined by chairs from Mental Health, Family Stability, Family Reunification, Work Force Development, and CAB; the focus was on Comprehensive ASAM In-Custody Screenings; there was a long discussion around the need for a substance use assessment when people are taken into custody; there was concern about Options Recovery being the only program currently operating in Santa Rita; also discussed was concern about currently only assessing who gets referred; need assessment at intake to determine baseline and in-custody services, and need reassessment closer to release to connect with appropriate levels of care
- v. There was a complaint about the tablet not being a successful communication tool, and access to the tablets has been limited; there was also a discussion around data outcomes: (1) explore the use of Yellowfin dashboard and other data tracking systems (there was a request for data points, which the subcommittee is looking into); (2) there was a conversation around collectively determining the success for priority of in-custody ASAM screening; this will be revisited to determine if it's an effective tool; there will be a discussion at the next meeting on the data measures that were requested, and having more cross-collaboration with stakeholders; next meeting is April 26, 1:00-2:30 PM

F. Mental Health Subcommittee Report – Yvonne Jones

- i. The subcommittee met on March 31 with other subcommittee chairs and providers in attendance, and Danielle Guerry with Collaborative Courts did a presentation; there was much discussion and information sharing, and providers discussed their successes and challenges; the subcommittee would also like to expand membership to involve other community stakeholders and those who work directly in the community
- ii. Collaborative Courts Presentation: (a) there are a variety of treatment courts – Drug court, Re-entry Court, Parole and Probation, Family Treatment, and Veterans Treatment; (b) questions about who are eligible were clarified; (c) through funding, they were able to hire a full-time mental health navigator to help link clients to services within two weeks of the mental health assessment
- iii. Felton and Roots received AB-109 funding for their SMI and Mild-to-Moderate programs for next fiscal year
- iv. There is a lot of interest in data collection and sharing, including outcomes; one of the challenges for providers is linking to people after they are released; next Steps: (a) Keep providers up-to-date on reaching clients before release; (b) use Safe Landing as a bridge between providers and clients; (c) joint subcommittee meetings; (d) expand subcommittee participation; next meetings are May 19, July 21, and September 15, 2:00 PM to 3:30 PM

G. Physical Health Subcommittee Report – Dr. Kathleen Clanon

- i. The subcommittee did not meet for two years during COVID; some staff got deployed to assist with the pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccinations, etc.; their first meeting was on 2/18/22 and was based on the Whole Person Care Steering Committee, which has been meeting since 2016; the Whole Person Care pilot ended in December, but the group is willing to continue to meet
- ii. The subcommittee wants to add more community based organizations; their initial topics are CalAIM, data sharing, and the work of community health workers; next meeting will be May 20

H. Housing Subcommittee Report – Riley Wilkerson

- i. Just Cities presented at the April meeting; they are working on a report that lists all the major changes that need to happen at the city, county, state, and federal level to better house the reentry population
- ii. The subcommittee and Just Cities are trying to combine their efforts, including focus groups, a survey for formerly incarcerated people, a housing needs assessment for the reentry population, resource landscape analysis for county, city, state, federal, and private resources, and a gap analysis of the disconnect between housing needs and available resources; all individual pieces of the project will be vetted and analyzed by the core group of community partners, which are the organizations that provide assistance to formerly incarcerated; the research pieces of the project will be vetted by the community and scholars (all formerly incarcerated); each piece of the project will be vetted, evaluated, and improved by the members of the collaborative and their resources in the community, local, state, and federal government

8. **Next Meeting** – July 6, 2022 at 3:00 PM

9. **Public Comments**

10. **Adjournment** at 4:38 PM