ALAMEDA COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES 10/27/2022, 1:00-2:30 p.m.

Microsoft Teams meeting

JJCC Members Present:

Marcus Dawal, Interim Chief Probation Officer Aaron Ortiz, Community Drug & Alcohol Program

Charles (Casey) Nice, Sheriff Kelvin Potts, Community Representative

Michelle Love, SSA-CWS
Christine Gerchow, ACBH
Monica Vaughan, ACOE
Marcos Campos, OPD

Others Present:

Rodney Brooks, Public Defenders

Adrienne Chambers, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

Ian Long, ACPD

Chuck Pattillo, ACPD

Brian Ford, Assistant Chief Probation Officer Kane, Anna - ACPD (Recorder)

Debra Lewis, OYCR

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Virtual Meeting Continuation Opening Remarks	A motion was made by Casey Nice to hold the meeting in a virtual format and seconded by Aaron Oritz. The motion passed unanimously.	
Amendments to JJCC & SB 823 Subcommittee Bylaws	 Several minor edits in the Bylaws were discussed A motion was made by Monica Vaughan to approve the amended bylaws. It was seconded by Christine Gerchow. The motion passed. 	
Juvenile Justice Realignment Updates (SB 823)	 21 youth in secure track program 20 are housed in JH, units 1 & 3 1 youth at Camp on step-down 14 ordered by court; 7 returned from DJJ 16 enrolled in college; 5 receiving high school instruction 2 youth working; 1 getting ready to start work at JH library Camp youth is working at Tesla 2 youth have been released to the community 	
System of Care Overview (AB 2083)	PowerPoint presented	
Discussion on Strategies for Providers to Serve Oakland Youth	 This discussion is needed due to the rising violence in Alameda County, and Oakland specifically Probation funds the Delinquency Probation Network (DPN) These organizations need help targeting the most vulnerable youth Probation will be meeting with Guillermo Cespedes, Chief of Violence Prevention Department. The Violence Prevention Department has put out RFPs for organization that can work with the most vulnerable youth 	Continue this topic as a standing item

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	Probation wants to partner with them and make them aware of the DPN resources that are already available	
	Is there an enforcement piece to these issues? Are there consequences to actions?	
	There's not an enforcement response from Probation. OPD is expanding enforcement activities.	
	Provide more services at the Hall; some youth might be best served at the hall.	
	Services are most appropriate because the youth are usually victims themselves. Youth who experience a great deal of trauma tend to act out. Incarceration is not how we should lead.	
	Do we have data on what is driving the violence? What are the reasons things are escalating? It seems like a lot of crime is related to property crime gone wrong or retaliation. We should explore these questions.	
	What has been done already in Oakland? What did we learn? What are the gaps? Are there models we can work with? Such as Richmond or Chicago?	
	Is there a way to provide more targeted counseling to better address the behavior?	
	Sometimes youth try to hurt members of their own families. Family empowerment/restoration	
	Is the DPN used for lower-level offenders to prevent them from going to the hall? Has their charge changed and are they given training to work with different types of youth? The DPN was initially charged with serving the lower-level offenders but about two years ago the contract was revised to expand their services to a greater population.	
	Are there about 20-30 families in Oakland that are responsible for most of the activity? Can those specific families be targeted? It is true that there is a very small subset of youth that are responsible for 80-90% of crime. However, the problems are more systemic, and we must approach from a comprehensive standpoint.	
	DPN is not providing services in the geographic areas where most of the crime takes place. Working with the Violence Prevention Department will help to address this.	
	It was suggested that Probation hold focus groups in secure track and focus groups with youth in the community. The youth must feel safe to be honest. This would be useful information to bring back to this group.	

AGENDA	DISCUSSION	ACTION
JJCC & SB 823 Subcommittee Vacancy Recruitment	 Per the bylaws, the term of non-permanent member is two years and will end February 9, 2023 As a result, there will be two vacancies in JJCC and several vacancies on the Subcommittee Vacancies must be announced 60 days prior to expiration of the term. Probation will post the application on our website. The collected applications will be forwarded to the JJCC at the next quarterly meeting in January. Members will be selected based on majority vote. The BOS will review the referred applicants 	
Public Comment	None	
Adjournment	Meeting adjourned 1:50 p.m.	