

# Process and Evaluation Workgroup

## Meeting Minutes

March 6, 2024

In attendance:

- **Rodney Brooks**, Alameda County Public Defenders Office
- **Mas Morimoto**, Office of the Alameda County District Attorney
- **Jason Sjoberg**, Office of the Alameda County District Attorney
- **Rick Wood**, Rubicon Programs
- **Jean Moses**, Interfaith Coalition for Justice in our Jails
- **Karen Chin**, Urban Strategies Council
- **Adrienne Chambers**, The Alameda County Probation Department
- **Gina Temporal**, The Alameda County Probation Department
- **Janene Grigsby**, The Alameda County Probation Department

The meeting started by confirming everyone had access to the support documents for the meeting and framing of the issues to be discussed. Participants then took time to review the materials for the meeting.

The members were asked to consider how to increase engagement with the Process and Evaluation Workgroup.

### **Who would we like to invite to start attending these meetings?**

- The question was raised about the success of the evening meetings in prior years.
- It was noted that people may come to the evening meeting if invited but may not continue to come throughout the year.
- Attendees talked about the difficulties of getting working people to attend without valuing their time.
- It was also suggested to invite people who have specific interests.
- Many in the community question if the Workgroup can be effective in creating changes for our AB 109 clients.
- Many of the essential County/Probation “decision makers” don’t attend the meetings.
- There was a suggestion to use the internet and social media to increase outreach.

- Questions about increased engagement ties to our objective of how to communicate our successes.
- Targeted outreach related to the subject of meetings could increase engagement.
- It was suggested there should be a coordinated social media initiative with the other Community Corrections Partnership Workgroups.
- The question was raised if active community members interested in systematic governmental changes would follow a County social media platform.
- There are questions in the community about the ability of the workgroups to create change.
- The constituencies for the Process and Evaluation Workgroup are service providers and clients, what can we do for them?
- Some community organizations hold public engagement activities. Should we consider developing agendas/meetings that are geared toward certain members of the public while keeping other meetings internal.
- The subject matter of the Process and Evaluation Workgroup is “inside baseball” and may not have the broad appeal of the other Workgroups.

**What do we want to share with the greater community about the Process and Evaluation Workgroup?**

- It is important to share our successful relationships with people who don't attend the meetings regularly.
- It is essential to share the aspirations of the Workgroup.

The meeting adjourned at 11:10 AM.